CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: August 20, 2018

TIME: 11:42 AM

WSR 18-17-137

## **PROPOSED RULE MAKING**



## CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

Agency: SHORELINE COMMUNITY COLLEGE							
⊠ Original Notice							
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR							
□ Continuance of WSR							
☑ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>17-24-125</u> ; or							
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or							
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or							
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW							
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) Chapter 132G-142 Use of College Facilities for Expressive Activities							
Hearing location(s):							
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:				
10/4/18	2:00p.m.	Shoreline Community College, PUB Quiet Dining Room					
Date of intended ado	ption: <u>10/2</u> 4	/18 (Note: This is NOT the effe	ctive date)				
Submit written comm	ents to:						
Name: VERONICA ZU	RA						
		VE N. SHORELINE WA 98133					
Email: sccrulemaking@	shoreline.e	<u>du</u>					
Fax: 206-546-5850							
Other:							
By (date) <u>10/4/18</u>							
Assistance for persons with disabilities:							
Contact Services for Students with Disabilities							
Phone: 206-546-4545							
Fax: 206-533-5109							
TTY: 206-546-4520							
Email: ssd@shoreline.edu							
Other:							
By (date) <u>9/25/18</u>							
<b>Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:</b> The College is proposing the creation of Chapter 132G-142 to provide clarifying language reflecting model code and best practices regarding the time, manner and place restrictions for expressive activities on campus covered under First Amendment rights. This language is being created to ensure there are protections in place to eliminate disruption to the educational process.							

<b>Reasons supporting proposal:</b> Creating this new rule provides formal clarification that the campus is a limited forum and further delineates the time, place and manner for students and non-students who wish to engage in protected speech on campus.						
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 28B.50.140						
Statute being im	plemented: RCW 28B.5	0.140(13)				
Is rule necessary Federal Lav Federal Co State Court	□ Yes ⊠ No □ Yes ⊠ No □ Yes ⊠ No					
If yes, CITATION:						
Agency commen matters: N/A	ts or recommendations	s, if any, as to statutory language, implementatio	n, enforcement, and fiscal			
Name of propone	<ul><li>□ Private</li><li>□ Public</li><li>□ Governmental</li></ul>					
Name of agency	personnel responsible	for:				
	Name	Office Location	Phone			
Drafting:	STUART TRIPPEL	ADMIN BLDG 1000, RM 1016	206-546-4672			
Implementation:	SAME					
Enforcement:	SAME					
Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135?						
The public may Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:		nool district fiscal impact statement by contacting:				
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?						
Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:		PENEELT ANALYSIS IN DOM 24 OF 228 DOES NOT				
$\boxtimes$ No: Pleas RULE.	зе слріані. ТПЕ 6031-Е	ENEFIT ANALYSIS IN RCW 34.05.328 DOES NOT	ALELT TO THIS GULLEGE			

Regulatory Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Small Business Economic Impact Statement:								
	roposal, or portions of the proposal, <b>may be ex</b> .85 RCW). Please check the box for any applica		requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see ption(s):					
adopted so regulation t adopted. Citation and	lely to conform and/or comply with federal state this rule is being adopted to conform or comply d description:	ute or regu with, and	RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being lations. Please cite the specific federal statute or describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not e the agency has completed the pilot rule process					
defined by	RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this p	proposed r	ule.					
		npt under t	he provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was					
	a referendum.	nt under F	P(M = 10.95) Check all that apply:					
	This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:							
$\boxtimes$	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)					
_	(Internal government operations)	_	(Dictated by statute)					
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)					
_	(Incorporation by reference)	_	(Set or adjust fees)					
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)					
	(Correct or clarify language)		<ul> <li>((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)</li> </ul>					
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exem n of exemptions, if necessary:	npt under F						
COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY IF NO EXEMPTION APPLIES         If the proposed rule is not exempt, does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?            No         Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated								
	public may obtain a copy of the small business acting:	economic	impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by					
A P F T	lame: ddress: Phone: fax: TY: fmail: Dther:							
<b>Date:</b> 8/20/		Signat	ANNI AND					
Name: VERONICA ZURA       Title: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, HUMAN RESOURCES								
			$\cup$					