PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: March 22, 2018

TIME: 2:31 PM

WSR 18-08-011

Agency: SHORELINE COMMUNITY COLLEGE								
⊠ Original Notice								
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR								
□ Continuance of WSR								
□ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 16-11-020; or								
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or								
☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or								
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW								
Title of rule and other	r identifying	ginformation: (describe subject) (CHAPTER 132G-300-010 Grievance Procedure, Title IX					
Hearing location(s):								
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:					
5/11/18	2:00 p.m.	Shoreline Community College						
		Quiet Dining Room, Bldg 9000						
		18 (Note: This is NOT the effective	e date)					
Submit written comm	ents to:							
Name: VERONICA ZURA								
Address: 16101 GREENWOOD AVE N								
Email: sccrulemaking@	<u>shoreline.e</u>	<u>du</u>						
Fax: 206-546-5850								
Other:								
By (date) <u>5/11/18</u>								
Assistance for person	ns with disa	abilities:						
Contact Services for Students w/ Disabilities								
Phone: 206-546-4545								
Fax: 206-533-5109								
TTY: 206-546-4520								
Email: ssd@shoreline.edu								
Other:								
By (date) <u>5/1/08</u>								
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The current grievance								
procedure for Title IX is not required to be codified under WAC for Shoreline Community College under RCW 34.05.010(16).								

The College has a WAC. With this in procedure.	adopted policy language ret mind, the College is propo	serves to identify the procedure in place following flecting best practices in Title IX procedures which sing the elimination of this unnecessary rule relations.	ch do not need to be codified in
Statutory author	ity for adoption: RCW 28E	3.50.140(13).	
Statute being im	plemented:		
Is rule necessary	y because of a:		
Federal Lav			☐ Yes ☒ No
Federal Co	urt Decision?		□ Yes ⊠ No
State Court If yes, CITATION:	☐ Yes ⊠ No		
matters: N/A		if any, as to statutory language, implementati	
Name of propone	ent: (person or organizatior	n) N/A	☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Governmental
Name of agency	personnel responsible fo	r:	
	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting:	ALISON STEVENS	ADMIN BLDG 1000, RM 1003A	(206) 546-4651
Implementation:	SAME		
Enforcement:	SAME		
If yes, insert state	ment here:	t required under RCW 28A.305.135? HIS COLLEGE RULE UNDER RCW 19.85.030	□ Yes □ No
The public may Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:		ol district fiscal impact statement by contacting:	
	analysis required under F		
Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:	S:	sis may be obtained by contacting: NEFIT ANALYSIS IN RCW 34.05.328 DOES NO	OT APPLY TO THIS COLLEGE
RULE.	_		

Reasons supporting proposal: The grievance procedure for Title IX, Chapter 132G-300-010, does not meet the definition

Reg	ulatory	Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a	Small Busine	ess Economic Impact Statement:			
		oposal, or portions of the proposal, may be 85 RCW). Please check the box for any app		requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see ption(s):			
adop regu adop	ted sollation to	lely to conform and/or comply with federal st	atute or regu	RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being lations. Please cite the specific federal statute or describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not			
□ T	his rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is ex		e the agency has completed the pilot rule process			
	-	RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposal, or portions of the proposal, is ex-		ne provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was			
adop	ted by	a referendum.	•				
⊠ T	his rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is ex	empt under R	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:			
	\boxtimes	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)			
		(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)			
		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)			
		(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)			
		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)			
		(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process			
				requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)			
пτ	his rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is ex	empt under R	• ,			
		of exemptions, if necessary:	ompt under it				
·							
				NO EXEMPTION APPLIES			
If the	propo	sed rule is not exempt , does it impose more	e-than-minor	costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?			
] No	Briefly summarize the agency's analysis sl	nowing how o	costs were calculated			
	☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:						
	conta	acting:	ss economic	impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by			
		ame:					
		ddress:					
		hone:					
Fax: TTY:							
Email:							
		other:					
			Signat	ure:			
Date: 3/22/18				Comp winder			
Name: VERONICA ZURA							
Title: DIRECTOR OF HUMAN RESOURCES				/ \			